Remarks

Amendments to the claims

Claims 4, 10, 13, 23 and 37 have been cancelled as indicated above. Claims 1, 5-7, 11, 14-16, 21, and 24-25 have been amended as indicated above. In particular:

Claim 1 has been amended to include all of the features and limitations of claim 10 (now cancelled). Thus, since claim 10 originally depended directly from claim 1, claim 1 (as amended) is now equivalent to claim 10 (now cancelled) rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of its base claim (claim 1) and any intervening claims. Also, claim 1 has been amended to correct a minor typographical error as indicated by the Examiner on page 2 of the Final Office action. The Applicants believe that claim 1, as amended, is allowable in view of the indicated allowability of claim 10. Claims 5-7 have been amended to depend from claim 1 (as amended). Claims 2-3, 5-9 (as respectively amended) are now allowable at least by virtue of their dependence (direct or indirect) from allowable claim 1, as amended.

Claim 11 has been amended to include all of the limitations of claim 13 (now cancelled) which originally depended directly from claim 11. Therefore, the Applicants believe that claim 11 (as amended) is allowable in view of indicated allowability of claim 13. Claims 14-16 have been amended to depend from claim 11 (as amended). Claims 12 and 14-20 (as respectively amended) are now allowable at least by virtue of their dependence (direct or indirect) from allowable claim 11, as amended.

Claim 21 has been amended to include all of the limitations of claim 23 (now cancelled) which originally depended directly from claim 21. Therefore, the Applicants believe that claim 21, as amended, is allowable in view of the indicated allowability of claim 23. Claims 24-25 have been amended to depend from claim 21

S/N: 10/616,762 Case 200300426-1 Amendment "B" – After Final 5

(as amended). Claims 22 and 24-28 (as respectively amended) are now allowable at least by virtue of their dependence (direct or indirect) from allowable claim 21, as amended.

No new matter has been introduced through the amendments to the claims.

Objections to the Claims

The Examiner has stated that claim 1 is objected to due to informalities (page 2 of Final Office action). Claim 1 has been amended as indicated above to correct a minor typographical error. Specifically, the word "of" has been added line 6 of claim 1. Thus, the Applicants respectfully request that the objection to claim 1 (as amended) due to informalities be withdrawn.

Rejection of Claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-3, 9, 11-12, 18-22, 27-28 and 37 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,272,131 ("Ofek").

In view of the amendments to independent claims 1, 11, and 21 (as respectively indicated and described above), the Applicants believe that rejected claims 1-3, 9, 11-12, 18-22 and 27-28 (as respectively amended) are now allowable and that the §102 rejection thereof is moot. As claim 37 has been cancelled herewith, the §102 rejection of claim 37 is now moot.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner has stated that claims 4-8, 10, 13-17 and 23-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of their respective base claims and any intervening claims (page 5 of Final Office action). As indicated above, independent claims 1, 11 and 21 have been amended to include all of the limitations

of claims 10, 13 and 23, respectively, and any intervening claims. Thus, the Applicants believe that independent claims 1, 11 and 21, as amended (and their respective dependent claims, as respectively amended) are now allowable. Claims 4, 10, 13 and 23 have been cancelled as indicated above.

The Examiner has further stated that claims 29-36 are allowed. The Applicants acknowledge and appreciate the Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter.

The Applicants agree with the Examiner's conclusions regarding patentability of the indicated claims at page 5 of the Office action, without necessarily agreeing with or acquiescing in the Examiner's reasoning. In particular, the Applicants believe that the indicated claims are allowable because the prior art fails to teach, anticipate or render obvious the invention as claimed, independent of how the invention is paraphrased.

Summary

The Applicants believe that this response constitutes a full and complete response to the Final Office action in accordance with 37 CFR 1.116. The Applicants believe that claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-12, 14-22 and 24-36, as respectively amended, are in condition for allowance without the need for further consideration on the merits. Thus, the Applicants respectfully request that a Notice of Allowance be issued with respect to claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-12, 14-22 and 24-36 (as respectively amended).

(Continued on next page.)

The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the below-signed representative if the Examiner believes this will facilitate prosecution toward allowance of the claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Timothy P. BLAIR and Roger T. BAIRD

Date: May 13, 2006

John S. Reid

Attorney and Agent for Applicants

Reg. No. 36,369

Phone: (509) 534-5789